

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, October 31, 2014

Stochastic series expansion (SSE) and ground-state projection

Anders W Sandvik, Boston University

**Review article on quantum spin systems and
numerical methods: **ArXiv:1101.3281****

Stochastic Series expansion (SSE): S=1/2 Heisenberg model

Write H as a bond sum for arbitrary lattice

$$H = J \sum_{b=1}^{N_b} \mathbf{S}_{i(b)} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j(b)},$$


Diagonal (1) and off-diagonal (2) bond operators

$$H_{1,b} = \frac{1}{4} - S_{i(b)}^z S_{j(b)}^z,$$

$$H_{2,b} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{i(b)}^+ S_{j(b)}^- + S_{i(b)}^- S_{j(b)}^+).$$

$$H = -J \sum_{b=1}^{N_b} (H_{1,b} - H_{2,b}) + \frac{JN_b}{4}$$

2D square lattice
bond and site labels



Four non-zero matrix elements

$$\langle \uparrow_{i(b)} \downarrow_{j(b)} | H_{1,b} | \uparrow_{i(b)} \downarrow_{j(b)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \quad \langle \downarrow_{i(b)} \uparrow_{j(b)} | H_{2,b} | \uparrow_{i(b)} \downarrow_{j(b)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\langle \downarrow_{i(b)} \uparrow_{j(b)} | H_{1,b} | \downarrow_{i(b)} \uparrow_{j(b)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \quad \langle \uparrow_{i(b)} \downarrow_{j(b)} | H_{2,b} | \downarrow_{i(b)} \uparrow_{j(b)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

Partition function

$$Z = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n_2} \frac{\beta^n}{n!} \sum_{S_n} \left\langle \alpha \left| \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} H_{a(p), b(p)} \right| \alpha \right\rangle$$

$n_2 =$ number of $a(i)=2$
(off-diagonal operators)
in the sequence


Index sequence: $S_n = [a(0), b(0)], [a(1), b(1)], \dots, [a(n-1), b(n-1)]$

For fixed-length scheme (string length = L now) $H_{00}=1$

$$Z = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{S_L} (-1)^{n_2} \frac{\beta^n (L-n)!}{L!} \left\langle \alpha \left| \prod_{p=0}^{L-1} H_{a(p),b(p)} \right| \alpha \right\rangle \quad W(\alpha, S_L) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^n \frac{(L-n)!}{L!}$$

Propagated states: $|\alpha(p)\rangle \propto \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} H_{a(i),b(i)} |\alpha\rangle$

$W > 0$ (n_2 even) for bipartite lattice
Frustration leads to **sign problem**



i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	p	$a(p)$	$b(p)$	$s(p)$
$\sigma(i)$	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1				
	●	●	○	○	●	○	●	○	11	1	2	4
	●	●	○	○	●	○	●	○	10	0	0	0
	●	●	○	○	●	○	●	○	9	2	4	9
	●	●	○	●	○	○	●	○	8	2	6	13
	●	●	○	○	●	○	●	○	7	1	3	6
	●	●	○	○	●	○	○	○	6	0	0	0
	●	●	○	○	●	○	○	○	5	0	0	0
	●	●	○	○	●	○	○	○	4	1	2	4
	●	●	○	○	●	○	○	○	3	2	6	13
	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	2	0	0	0
	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	1	2	4	9
	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	0	1	7	14

In a program:

$s(p)$ = operator-index string

- $s(p) = 2*b(p) + a(p) - 1$
- diagonal; $s(p) = \text{even}$
- off-diagonal; $s(p) = \text{off}$

$\sigma(i)$ = spin state, $i=1, \dots, N$



- only one has to be stored

SSE effectively provides a discrete representation of the time continuum

- computational advantage; only integer operations in sampling

Linked vertex storage

The “legs” of a vertex represents the spin states before (below) and after (above) an operator has acted



$X()$ = vertex list

- operator at $p \rightarrow X(v)$
 $v=4p+l, l=0,1,2,3$
- links to next and previous leg

Spin states between operations are redundant; represented by links

- network of linked vertices will be used for loop updates of vertices/operators


Monte Carlo sampling scheme

Change the configuration; $(\alpha, S_L) \rightarrow (\alpha', S'_L)$

$$W(\alpha, S_L) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n \frac{(L-n)!}{L!}$$

$$P_{\text{accept}} = \min \left[\frac{W(\alpha', S_L) P_{\text{select}}(\alpha', S'_L \rightarrow \alpha, S_L)}{W(\alpha, S_L) P_{\text{select}}(\alpha, S_L \rightarrow \alpha', S'_L)}, 1 \right]$$

Diagonal update: $[0, 0]_p \leftrightarrow [1, b]_p$



Attempt at $p=0, \dots, L-1$. Need to know $|\alpha(p)\rangle$

- generate by flipping spins when off-diagonal operator

$$P_{\text{select}}(a = 0 \rightarrow a = 1) = 1/N_b, \quad (b \in \{1, \dots, N_b\})$$


$$P_{\text{select}}(a = 1 \rightarrow a = 0) = 1$$

$$\frac{W(a = 1)}{W(a = 0)} = \frac{\beta/2}{L-n} \quad \frac{W(a = 0)}{W(a = 1)} = \frac{L-n+1}{\beta/2}$$

Acceptance probabilities

$$P_{\text{accept}}([0, 0] \rightarrow [1, b]) = \min \left[\frac{\beta N_b}{2(L-n)}, 1 \right]$$


$$P_{\text{accept}}([1, b] \rightarrow [0, 0]) = \min \left[\frac{2(L-n+1)}{\beta N_b}, 1 \right]$$



n is the current power


- $n \rightarrow n+1$ ($a=0 \rightarrow a=1$)
- $n \rightarrow n-1$ ($a=1 \rightarrow a=0$)

Off-diagonal updates



Local update

- Change the type of two operators
- constraints
 - inefficient
 - cannot change winding numbers



Operator-loop update

- Many spins and operators can be changed simultaneously
- can change winding numbers

Determination of the cut-off L


- adjust during equilibration
- start with arbitrary (small) n

Keep track of number of operators n

- increase L if n is close to current L
- e.g., $L = n + n/3$

Example

- 16×16 system, $\beta = 16 \Rightarrow$
- evolution of L
- n distribution after equilibration
- truncation is no approximation





Does it work?

Compare with exact results

- 4×4 exact diagonalization
- Bethe Ansatz; long chains

Susceptibility of the 4×4 lattice ⇒

- SSE results from 10^{10} sweeps
- improved estimator gives smaller error bars at high T (where the number of loops is larger)



⇐ Energy for long 1D chains

- SSE results for 10^6 sweeps
- Bethe Ansatz ground state E/N
- SSE can achieve the ground state limit ($T \rightarrow 0$)

2D Heisenberg SSE code on line

<https://physics.bu.edu/~sandvik/programs/>


Valence bonds and Ground State Projection

The valence bond basis for $S=1/2$ spins

Valence-bonds between sublattice A, B sites $(i, j) = (|\uparrow_i \downarrow_j\rangle - |\downarrow_i \uparrow_j\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$

Basis states; singlet products

$$|V_r\rangle = \prod_{b=1}^{N/2} (i_{rb}, j_{rb}), \quad r = 1, \dots, (N/2)!$$



The valence bond basis is overcomplete and non-orthogonal

- expansion of arbitrary singlet state is not unique

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_r f_r |V_r\rangle \quad (\text{all } f_r \text{ positive for non-frustrated system})$$

All valence bond states overlap with each other


$$\langle V_l | V_r \rangle = 2^{N_o - N/2} \quad N_o = \text{number of loops in overlap graph}$$

Spin correlations from loop structure

$$\frac{\langle V_l | \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j | V_r \rangle}{\langle V_l | V_r \rangle} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4} (-1)^{x_i - x_j + y_i - y_j} & (i, j \text{ in same loop}) \\ 0 & (i, j \text{ in different loops}) \end{cases}$$

More complicated matrix elements (e.g., dimer correlations) are also related to the loop structure

K.S.D. Beach and A.W.S.,
Nucl. Phys. B 750, 142 (2006)



Projector Monte Carlo in the valence-bond basis

Liang, 1991; AWS, Phys. Rev. Lett 95, 207203 (2005)

$(-H)^n$ projects out the ground state from an arbitrary state

$$(-H)^n |\Psi\rangle = (-H)^n \sum_i c_i |i\rangle \rightarrow c_0 (-E_0)^n |0\rangle$$

S=1/2 Heisenberg model

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} H_{ij}, \quad H_{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j\right)$$


Project with string of bond operators

$$\sum_{\{H_{ij}\}} \prod_{p=1}^n H_{i(p)j(p)} |\Psi\rangle \rightarrow r |0\rangle \quad (r = \text{irrelevant})$$

Action of bond operators

$$H_{ab} |\dots(a,b)\dots(c,d)\dots\rangle = |\dots(a,b)\dots(c,d)\dots\rangle$$

$$H_{bc} |\dots(a,b)\dots(c,d)\dots\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |\dots(c,b)\dots(a,d)\dots\rangle$$



$$(i,j) = (|\uparrow_i \downarrow_j\rangle - |\downarrow_i \uparrow_j\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$$

Simple reconfiguration of bonds (or no change; diagonal)

- no minus signs for A→B bond ‘direction’ convention
- sign problem does appear for frustrated systems

Expectation values: $\langle A \rangle = \langle 0|A|0 \rangle$

Strings of singlet projectors


$$P_k = \prod_{p=1}^n H_{i_k(p)j_k(p)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_b^n \quad (N_b = \text{number of interaction bonds})$$

We have to project bra and ket states

$$\sum_k P_k |V_r\rangle = \sum_k W_{kr} |V_r(k)\rangle \rightarrow (-E_0)^n c_0 |0\rangle$$

$$\sum_g \langle V_l | P_g^* = \sum_g \langle V_l(g) | W_{gl} \rightarrow \langle 0 | c_0 (-E_0)^n$$

6-spin chain example:



$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \rangle &= \frac{\sum_{g,k} \langle V_l | P_g^* A P_k | V_r \rangle}{\sum_{g,k} \langle V_l | P_g^* P_k | V_r \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{g,k} W_{gl} W_{kr} \langle V_l(g) | A | V_r(k) \rangle}{\sum_{g,k} W_{gl} W_{kr} \langle V_l(g) | V_r(k) \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

- Monte Carlo sampling of operator strings
- Estimators based on transition graphs

More efficient ground state QMC algorithm → larger lattices



Loop updates in the valence-bond basis

AWS and H. G. Evertz, PRB 2010

Put the spins back in a way compatible with the valence bonds

$$(a_i, b_i) = (\uparrow_i \downarrow_j - \downarrow_i \uparrow_j) / \sqrt{2}$$

and sample in a combined space of spins and bonds



Loop updates similar to those in finite-T methods

(world-line and stochastic series expansion methods)


- good valence-bond trial wave functions can be used
- larger systems accessible
- sample spins, but measure using the valence bonds

T>0 and T=0 algorithms side-by-side

Finite-temperature QMC

(world lines, SSE,...)

$$\text{tr}\{e^{-\beta H}\} = \sum_n \frac{\beta^n}{n!} \langle \alpha | (-H)^n | \alpha \rangle$$




periodic time boundary conditions

- Computer implementations similar

Ground state projection

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} f_{\beta} f_{\alpha} \langle \beta | (-H)^m | \alpha \rangle$$




open boundaries capped by
valence bonds (2-spin singlets)
[AWS, HG Evertz, 2010]

Trial state can conserve relevant
ground state quantum numbers
(S=0, k=0,...)

Starting point: $S=1/2$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$



Sublattice magnetization

$$\vec{m}_s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i \vec{S}_i, \quad \phi_i = (-1)^{x_i+y_i} \quad (2D \text{ square lattice})$$

Long-range order: $\langle m_s^2 \rangle > 0$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$

Quantum Monte Carlo

- finite-size calculations
- no approximations
- extrapolation to infinite size

Reger & Young 1988

$$m_s = 0.30(2)$$

$\approx 60\%$ of classical value

AWS & HG Evertz 2010

$$m_s = 0.30743(1)$$

$L \times L$ lattices up to 256×256 , $T=0$

